RECEIVED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIAN JUN 25 A 10: 09

	Alexandria Division	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT ALEXANDRIA. VIRGINIA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
\mathbf{V}_{\bullet}	CRIMIN	AL NO. 1:10-CR-200
LEE BENTLEY FARKAS,		
Defendant.)	

GOVERNMENT'S NON-CONFIDENTIAL MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENTS

The United States, through its undersigned counsel, pursuant to Local Rule of Court 49(E) of the Local Criminal Rules of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, asks for an Order sealing the Confidential Memorandum and the documents attached to the Motion to Seal.

I. THE ITEMS TO BE SEALED AND NECESSITY FOR SEALING

- The government asks the Court to seal the Confidential Memorandum and the documents attached to its Motion to Seal.
- 2. Sealing is necessary because premature disclosure of the documents described above would disclose the direction and focus of the government's ongoing investigation. The government has considered procedures other than sealing and none will suffice to protect this information from disclosure.

II. GOVERNING CASE LAW RECOGNIZES THE COURT'S INHERENT POWER TO SEAL MATERIALS

3. The Court has the inherent power to seal materials submitted to it. See United States v. Wuagneux, 683 F.2d 1343, 1351 (11th Cir. 1982); State of Arizona v. Maypenny, 672 F.2d 761, 765 (9th Cir. 1982); Times Mirror Company v. United States, 873 F.2d 1210 (9th Cir. 1989); see also Shea v. Gabriel, 520 F.2d 879 (1st Cir. 1975); United States v. Hubbard, 650 F.2d 293 (D.C. Cir. 1980); In re Braughton, 520 F.2d 765, 766 (9th Cir. 1975). "The trial court has supervisory power over its own records and may, in its discretion, seal documents if the public's right of access is outweighed by competing interests." In re Knight Pub. Co., 743 F.2d 231, 235 (4th Cir. 1984). Sealing is appropriate where there is a substantial probability that the release of the sealed documents would severely compromise the government's on-going investigation. See e.g. In re Search Warrant for Secretarial Area Outside Office of Gunn, 855 F.2d 569, 574 (8th Cir. 1988); Matter of Eye Care Physicians of America, 100 F.3d 514, 518 (7th Cir. 1996); Matter of Flower Aviation of Kansas, Inc., 789 F. Supp. 366 (D. Kan. 1992).

III. THE GOVERNMENT SEEKS TO SEAL THE MATERIALS UNTIL TRIAL IN THIS MATTER COMMENCES

 The Confidential Memorandum and documents attached to the Motion to Seal would need to remain sealed until the trial in this matter commences.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court issue an order Sealing the Confidential Memorandum and documents attached to the Motion to Seal.

Dated: June 25, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Neil H. MacBride United States Attorney

Charles F. Connolly

Assistant United States Attorney

U.S. Attorney's Office 2100 Jamieson Avenue Alexandria, VA 22314

Ph: 703.299.3771 Fax: 703.299.3981

Charles.Connolly@usdoj.gov